W.P. No. 1947 (SS) 2001
(Old No. 31082/1999)

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J

Heard Sri Manoj Tiwari, learned counsel for petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the dispute in the present writ petition is covered by the judgement delivered by this court on 22.4.2003 in Writ Petition No. 1902 (S/S) of 2001 (Smt. Devki Tamta Vs. Director, Mahila Kalyan, U.P.. Lucknow and others).

In the aforesaid, case the writ petition was allowed on the ground that the petitioner. Was appointed on adhoc basis but he is working for a long period his service could not be terminated without giving any opportunity or notice to him. Learned counsel for the respondent submitted that petitioner's appointment was not made by the Competent Authority. In the present case the same controversy has arisen, as such the writ petition is disposed of in terms of the aforesaid judgment.

(M.M. Ghildiyal, J.)

30.11.2004
S.K.Sharma